





Cover Photo: Squirrel Glider by Amy Daeché

COPYRIGHT

This document is copyright. Other than for the purposes and subject to the conditions prescribed under the Copyright Act 1968 (Commonwealth), no part of it may in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, micro-copying, photocopying, recording or otherwise) be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted without prior written permission.

DISCLAIMER

This report has been prepared for the use of the stated client and for the specific purpose described in the Introduction and is not to be used for any other purpose or by any other person or corporation. DM Ecological accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage suffered howsoever arising to any person or corporation who may use or rely on this report in contravention of the terms of this disclaimer. Due consideration has been given to appropriate legislation and documentation available at the time of preparation of the report. As these elements are liable to change over time, the report should be considered current at the time of preparation only. The document relies on information supplied by the client and on findings obtained during the assessment process. While due care was taken during the report preparation, DM Ecological accepts no responsibility for any omissions that may have occurred during the assessment process.

Document Control

Document Information

File Ref: ACC_2023_Annual_Report

Version: 2

Distribution: Client – General Use (Uncontrolled when printed)

Threatened Species Monitoring Program – Annual Report 2023						
Rev	Description	Author	Date			
1	For Review	Dylan McWhinney	22/02/2024			
2	Final	Dylan McWhinney	04/03/2024			



The report has been prepared by Dylan McWhinney (DM Ecological) who is an experienced ecologist and project environmental manager. He has over 10 years' experience in environmental management and consulting and is a Certified Environmental Practitioner (CEnvP) as administered by the Environmental Institute of Australia and New Zealand (EIANZ). The CEnvP scheme:

- assures the minimum standards of competency for consultants performing regulatory functions.
- develops guidelines and codes of practice.
- improves confidence in the quality, reliability and accountability of environmental reports and documentation provided to government agencies by environmental practitioners.



Contents

	Docun	ent Information	2
Ex	ecutive	Summary	4
1	Intro	duction	5
	1.1	Project background	5
	1.2	Location of study area	5
2	Met	nodology	8
	2.1	Study Design	8
	2.2	Threatened Species Monitoring Protocol	9
	2.3	Limitations	10
3	Resi	lts	13
	3.1	New South Wales – Albury (Thurgoona - Wirlinga)	13
	3.1.	Survey effort and Squirrel Glider detection rate	13
	3.1.	2 Trends in detection rates among habitat types and land use zones	13
	3.1.	Trends in nightly detection rates	19
	3.2	Victoria – Wodonga (Leneva – Baranduda)	21
	3.2.	Survey effort and Squirrel Glider detection rate	21
	3.2.	Trends in detection rates among habitat and land use types	21
	3.2.	Trends in nightly detection rates	26
	3.3	Other fauna species	28
	3.4	Bird observations	28
4.	Disc	ussion and Recommendations	30
	4.1	Summary of recommendations	32
5.	Ackı	owledgments	34
6.	Refe	rences	36
Αŗ	pendi	A – Results mapping	37



Executive Summary

The Albury Wodonga Threatened Species Monitoring Program (TSMP) has been strategically monitoring Albury Wodonga's wildlife since 2018, with a focus on arboreal mammals and in particular the Squirrel Glider (*Petaurus norfolcensis*). Albury Conservation Company (ACC) has engaged DM Ecological to implement the program, which started in Albury (Thurgoona – Wirlinga) and expanded into Wodonga in 2020.

In 2023, the program monitored wildlife at over 120 sites using motion-sensing cameras as the primary survey tool. Two monitoring periods occurred in the Thurgoona - Wirlinga study area in 2023, Autumn and Spring. The survey effort in each period was 60 sites and 63 sites monitored respectively. Results showed:

- 33 Squirrel Glider detections in the Autumn monitoring period (detection rate of 55%)
- 31 Squirrel Glider detections in the Spring monitoring period (detection rate of 49%)

All habitat types are showing a higher detection rate in Spring 2023 than they were in Spring 2019, perhaps correlating with wetter than average years and resource availability. During this time, roadside habitat sites have consistently produced higher detection rates in Autumn as opposed to Spring, with both seasonal rates increasing annually. Remnant sites have shown the least amount of variance in detection rates over the life of the program.

Two monitoring periods occurred in the Wodonga study area in 2023, Autumn and Spring. 59 sites were monitored in each of the survey periods. Results showed:

- 27 glider detections in the Autumn monitoring period (detection rate of 46%)
- 19 glider detections in the Spring monitoring period (detection rate of 32%)

The detection rates of each habitat type decreased except for roadside sites where it remained at 50%. Detection rates in the rural land use zone increased from 33% in Spring 2022 to 69% in Autumn 2023 before decreasing to just 12% in Spring 2022. There were no detections in any of the remnant, vegetation, or roadside habitat types within the rural land use zone in Spring 2023. Recommended actions include:

- 1. Continue implementing the TSMP in both Thurgoona Wirlinga and Wodonga to improve knowledge of threatened species and identify critical habitats, linkages, and management interventions to ensure the populations remain viable in the face of urbanisation.
- 2. Prioritise the delivery of the three-year funded 'Applying science to on-ground action for conservation of Albury Wodonga's threatened wildlife' project. In particular, commence monitoring of sites with habitat interventions to facilitate BACI experiment.
- 3. This program and its associated projects should be supported by Councils and other key stakeholders. It aligns with the Regional Natural Environment Strategy (RNES) and meets many of the actions in the RNES Action Plan (2020-24).
- 4. Data collected by the TSMP should be used to prescribe delivery of on-ground works relating to revegetation (connectivity and food sources), habitat enrichment (nest boxes and augmented hollows), weed control, removal of barbed wire etc. at specific sites.

The Albury Wodonga Threatened Species Monitoring Program has been made possible with funding from Albury City Council, Ross Trust, Wettenhall Environment Trust, Wodonga Council and others.



1 Introduction

1.1 Project background

DM Ecological has been engaged by Albury Conservation Company (ACC) since April 2018 to implement a Squirrel Glider Monitoring Program (SGMP) in the greater Thurgoona / Wirlinga area of New South Wales (NSW). The SGMP at its inception had the following objectives:

- 1 To determine the impact of urbanisation on Squirrel Glider (*Petaurus norfolcensis*) populations within key 'stronghold' patches (as indicated in previous studies).
- 2 To evaluate the effectiveness of management actions designed to improve the persistence of Squirrel Glider populations in 'lower quality' patches.
- 3 Engage the community in the protection and enhancement of Squirrel Glider populations by providing avenues to participate in monitoring and restoration works.
- 4 Maintain a strong base program but be amenable to incorporating complementary research projects as funding and opportunities become available.

In line with objective four (above), the program was extended across the State border to include sites within the Wodonga Council local government area (LGA) in Victoria, after funding was received from both the Wodonga Council and the Ross Trust. This provided a substantial increase in geographic area, monitoring sites and potential threatened species presence to the work previously being funded by Albury City Council and the Wettenhall Environment Trust in the Thurgoona – Wirlinga landscape. With this extension to the program, the SGMP was renamed the Albury Wodonga Threatened Species Monitoring Program (TSMP) to account for the potential of encountering other threatened arboreal or semi-arboreal mammals such as the Brush-tailed Phascogale (*Phascogale tapoatafa*) and Spotted-tail Quoll (*Dasyurus maculatus*).

Monitoring across the study areas (hereafter referred to as Thurgoona - Wirlinga and Wodonga) occurs biannually in Autumn and Spring. Due to delays in obtaining relevant permits/licences in Victoria, the Wodonga monitoring commenced in Winter 2020. Select sites are monitored using arboreal mounted motion sensing cameras (trail cams), paired with a scent lure (honey, water, and sugar mix) with the purpose of attracting target species in front of the camera and recording their presence. Records are verified and uploaded to publicly available datasets via the NatureMapr (https://naturemapr.org/home) citizen science platform (Albury Wodonga Nature Map), which feeds into the Atlas of Living Australia (ALA) (https://www.ala.org.au/).

In Thurgoona – Wirlinga a total of 74 sites have now been monitored at least once across the ten monitoring periods (biannually 2018-22). In Wodonga, 60 sites have been monitored at least once across the six monitoring periods (biannually 2020-22). No threatened species other than the Squirrel Glider have been detected using the motion sensing cameras so far.

1.2 Location of study area

The study area includes major growth corridors within the Albury City Council LGA and the Wodonga Council LGA. It is focused in Thurgoona – Wirlinga in NSW and the Leneva growth corridor in Victoria (VIC) extending from White-Box rise in Thurgoona to Baranduda in Wodonga (Figures 1, 2 and 3).






Figure 1: Entire study area including Thurgoona - Wirlinga and Wodonga study areas






Figure 2: Thurgoona - Wirlinga study area






Figure 3: Wodonga study area

2 Methodology

2.1 Study Design

The TSMP involves 85 potential survey sites in Thurgoona – Wirlinga and 60 potential survey sites in Wodonga. Native vegetation greater than 5 ha was mapped and stratified by:

Habitat type:

- roadside verges,
- · patches of remnant vegetation,
- riparian corridors, and
- forward tree plantings,

and land zone:

- urban,
- peri-urban (future development)
- and rural (agricultural land).

Sites delineated from this exercise were randomly selected weighted to locations with pre-existing Squirrel Glider records (Michael et al. 2021). Further potential survey sites have been identified in the field and where these are incorporated into the program, they are also defined by their broad habitat type, minimum 5 ha size and zoned land use.



2.2 Threatened Species Monitoring Protocol

The primary survey method used in the TSMP is motion sensing wildlife cameras (Little Acorn LTL-5610 Series and the Little Acorn LTL-6310 Series). The cameras can take 12MP High-Definition images and store up to 12GB of data. The zero-glow technology makes them ideally suited for monitoring nocturnal species. The cameras are deployed in trees at heights between 3-10 m depending on tree suitability, target area, reach, safety, and to minimise the potential for theft.

Cameras were typically placed on an auxiliary branch facing a target area on the main trunk or another branch with significant surface area. The distance from camera to target area was 1-2 m to ensure the best chance of capturing a clear image that could be positively identified. Care was taken to minimise the likelihood of leaves triggering images. With the camera installed, the target area on the tree was sprayed with an attractant mix comprised of water, honey and sugar to provide a scent lure and improve the likelihood of detecting the target species at each location. Figure 4 (page 11) demonstrates a typical camera installation.

Basic data was captured at each initial site visit, including Site ID, Camera ID, Tree Species, Approximate Height (meters), Tree Circumference (cm) and a waypoint taken using Garmin Etrex 10 Global Positioning System (GPS).

Cameras were deployed for a minimum of 10 nights and a maximum of 14 nights at each location. After cameras were retrieved, the images were downloaded and analysed by ecologists to identify wildlife observations and calculate detection rates per site. Priority is given to target (threatened species) identification and once confirmed, each record (images and GPS location) is uploaded to Albury Wodonga Nature Map where it can be verified by expert moderators. This record is accessible to Albury Wodonga Nature Map users only, however data is regularly harvested and uploaded to the Atlas of Living Australia where the records become publicly accessible.

During camera installation and retrieval, ecologists also recorded opportunistic bird observations with the purpose of documenting other threatened species. The bird surveys provide an opportunity to identify and record other threatened species in the study area and contribute data to publicly accessible data bases (e.g. Albury Wodonga Nature Map and Atlas of Living Australia), where it will provide value in planning assessments or other population monitoring/distribution research. Birds are recorded after being directly observed or identified via their call.





Figure 4: Typical camera placement

2.3 Limitations

ACC set the target for sites monitored at 68 in the NSW study area and 60 in the VIC study area during each monitoring period. So far, the greatest number of sites monitored as been 67 and 59 in each study area respectively. Table 1 (below) shows the monitoring efforts of the 2023 monitoring periods.

Table 1: 2023 TSMP Survey efforts (no. of sites monitored)

Monitoring Period	No. of sites monitored NSW	No of sites monitored VIC
Autumn 2023	60	63
Spring 2023	59	59

Limitations to achieving the desired survey effort has primarily been due to access restrictions on private property. There have been several occasions where monitoring sites have been cleared for development and no longer provide a viable site (n=3). Wet weather provided a limiting factor to accessing some sites in 2023. Over the course of the TSMP, seven cameras have been stolen whilst deployed in the field resulting in a reduction in survey effort for the associated monitoring period.

Sites not monitored in 2023 and their associated information are shown in Table 2



Table 2: 2023 TSMP Survey limitations

Study Area	Site ID	Monitoring Period	Land Use	Habitat Type	Limitation
NSW	12	Spring	Type Urban	Revegetation	Construction site preventing access.
NSW	14	Autumn Spring	Urban	Revegetation	Site was cleared for development prior to Autumn 2019 period.
NSW	39	Autumn	Proposed Development	Revegetation	
NSW	40	Autumn	Proposed Development	Remnant	Landholder not contactable for
NSW	41	Autumn	Proposed Development	Riparian	access
NSW	42	Autumn	Proposed Development	Riparian	
NSW	53	Autumn Spring	Proposed Development	Revegetation	Landholder not contactable for access
NSW	57	Autumn Spring	Rural	Revegetation	Too wet to access during monitoring period
NSW	59	Autumn Spring	Rural	Riparian	Landholder did not permit access
NSW	62	Autumn Spring	Rural	Riparian	Landholder not contactable for access
NSW	66	Autumn Spring	Proposed Development	Roadside	Landholder not contactable for access
NSW	69	Autumn Spring	Rural	Remnant	Too wet to access during monitoring period
NSW	72	Autumn Spring	Rural	Riparian	Decrease, has been collectivided into
NSW	73	Autumn Spring	Rural	Riparian	Property has been subdivided into rural living blocks. No contact details
NSW	74	Autumn Spring	Rural	Revegetation	for new owners yet.
VIC	157	Autumn Spring	Rural	Riparian	Too wet to access during monitoring period

In the Victorian study area, there is the added limitation of accurate species identification with the potential overlap in distribution between the Squirrel Glider (*Petaurus norfolcensis*) and Krefft's Glider (*Petaurus notatus*) which are visually similar species. The main distinguishing features between the two species the overall size, underbelly fur colour, tail size and colour and muzzle shape (See Table 3). These distinguishing features can be difficult to discern on the black and white images captured, which do not provide scale or a consistent pose amongst individuals for comparisons. Where a distinction could not be made between the two species, the records were uploaded as a glider species only, and do not contribute towards the threatened species detections. This may result in a lower detection rate within the Wodonga study area when compared to Thurgoona - Wirlinga.



Table 3:Squirrel Glider (Petaurus norfolcensis) and Krefft's Glider (Petaurus notatus) distinguishing features.

Species	Head Body Length	Tail Length	Weight	Underbelly Fur	Other
P. norfolcensis	170-240mm	220-300mm	190-300g	Clear White	Muzzle longer, pointed. Tail never white tipped.
P. notatus	160-200mm	165-210mm	90-150g	Cream White	Muzzle shorter, rounded. Tail frequently white tipped

Table source: Menkhorst and Knight 2011

Examples of discerning features between the two species as well as an image captured during the monitoring program where differentiation is not possible are provide in Figures 5-7 below.



Figure 5: Squirrel Glider displaying long, pointed muzzle and tail length significantly longer than head body length. Tail fur is long and all black (Albury Wodonga Nature Map- User WingsToWander, 2020)



Figure 6: Krefft's Glider displaying shorter, rounded muzzle with a tail length comparable to head body length. Tail fur is noticeably shorter and white-tipped (Albury Wodonga Nature Map - User WingsToWander, 2020)



Figure 7: Example of an image captured by a motion sensing camera where it was not possible to definitively differentiate between the two Petaurus species (Albury Wodonga Nature Map - User DMeco, 2020)



3 Results

3.1 New South Wales – Albury (Thurgoona - Wirlinga)

3.1.1 Survey effort and Squirrel Glider detection rate

Two monitoring periods occurred in the Thurgoona - Wirlinga study area in 2023, Autumn and Spring. The survey effort in each period was 60 sites and 63 sites monitored respectively. They resulted in 33 Squirrel Glider (hereafter referred to as glider) detections in the Autumn monitoring period (detection rate of 55%) and 33 glider detections in the Spring monitoring period (detection rate of 49%). There was an increase in overall glider detection rate from the previous monitoring period (Spring 2022), where the detection rate was 32% before decreasing to 49% in the Spring 2023 period. The 2023 monitoring periods have resulted in 12 successive monitoring periods with glider detection rates greater than 30%, within a range of 30-55%. This is the third consecutive year where detection rates have been higher in Autumn than in Spring. Table 4 (below) shows the overall survey efforts and glider detection rates in the Thurgoona-Wirlinga study area since the beginning of the program. Maps that present key data in this section spatially are provided in Appendix A.

Table 4:TSMP survey effort and associated Squirrel Glider detection rates between Winter 2018 and Spring 2022

Monitoring Period	Sites Monitored	Sites with Squirrel Glider Detections	Detection Rate	Trend
Winter 2018	65	26	40%	
Spring 2018	64	21	33%	↓
Autumn 2019	62	20	32%	↓
Spring 2019	63	19	30%	↓
Autumn 2020	62	22	35%	1
Spring 2020	60	23	38%	1
Autumn 2021	66	30	45%	1
Spring 2021	67	25	37%	1
Autumn 2022	64	27	42%	1
Spring 2022	60	19	32%	1
Autumn 2023	60	33	55%	1
Spring 2023	63	31	49%	↓

3.1.2 Trends in detection rates among habitat types and land use zones

Over the course of the TSMP in Thurgoona - Wirlinga, there has been an overall upward trend in glider detection rates at riparian sites (17% in Winter 2018 to 44% in Spring 2023). All habitat types are showing a higher detection rate in Spring 2023 than they were in Spring 2019, perhaps correlating with wetter than average years



and resource availability. During this time, roadside habitat sites have consistently produced higher detection rates in Autumn as opposed to Spring, with both seasonal rates increasing annually (Figure 8). Remnant sites have shown the least amount of variance in detection rates over the life of the program. In 2023, results showed a moderate reduction in glider detection rates at remnant and roadside sites and a stable rate at riparian sites and revegetation sites (Table 5). The glider detection rates per habitat type for each monitoring period are shown in Figure 8.

Table 5:Detection rates according to habitat type in 2023

Habitat	Autumn		Spring		Trend
	Sites		Sites Monitored	Detection	
	Monitored	Detection Rate		Rate	
					1
Remnant	17	52.94%	18	44.44%	
Revegetation	12	66.66%	12	66.66%	→
Riparian	14	42.85%	16	43.75%	1
Roadside	17	58.82%	17	47.05%	1
All	60	55.00%	63	49.20%	1




Figure 8: Squirrel Glider detection rates according to each habitat type in each monitoring period in Thurgoona - Wirlinga

Within a study period (calendar year) it is difficult to discern any real habitat preference or change thereof by gliders, with detection rates showing significant variation within habitat type and land use zone across the two monitoring periods. This is not unexpected, with studies showing that Squirrel Glider home ranges are on average 5.6 - 6.2 ha, cover multiple vegetation types, often overlap and consist of multiple den sites (Sharpe and



Goldingay 2007). In a study of the denning behaviour of Squirrel Gliders occupying road reserves at Euroa VIC, individuals radio tracked for an average of 44 days used from 1–15 den sites, but the average was around 5–6 (van der Ree 2000). The Squirrel Gliders mobility and propensity to change den sites within their home range, combined with the monitoring sites being static (i.e., the same tree is monitored each monitoring period) means there is a strong probability of recording a false absence within a monitoring site, habitat type or even land use zone. That is gliders may be utilising a different part of their home range to the monitoring site at the time of monitoring.

Figures 9 and 10 demonstrate the difference in detection rates at each habitat and land use type within the study period (2023 calendar year).




Figure 9:Squirrel Glider detection rates of each habitat type according to land use zone for the Autumn 2023 monitoring period in Thurgoona - Wirlinga






Figure 10: Squirrel Glider detection rates in each habitat type and land use zone during the Spring 2023 monitoring period in Thurgoona - Wirlinga.

Figures 9 and 10 show some variances, highlighted by roadside sites in the rural land use zone going from a glider detection rate of 60% in Autumn 2023 to 20% in Spring 2023 and remnant sites in the rural land use zone increasing from 20% detection rate to 60%. Riparian habitat types in the urban land use zone did not detect gliders in either monitoring period. Roadside sites were the only habitat type that detected gliders in each land use zone across both monitoring periods.

Figure 11 shows the glider detection rates within each land use zone (across all habitat types) since the start of the program. This data may be able to assist in detecting landscape scale land-use changes, disturbances, events, seasonality etc.







Figure 11: Squirrel Glider detection rates in Thurgoona-Wirlinga within each land use zone across life of program.

The actual number of glider detections has been tracked over each of the monitoring periods to give a visual representation of the habitat type in each land use zone where gliders are recorded (see Figure 12). This data is more representative of species habitat use given that it now extends over six years (12 monitoring periods), allowing for the identification of any geographical or seasonal trends. Since 2019 gliders have been most frequently detected in riparian sites within the proposed development land use zone. Across the rural and urban land use zones, remnant habitat types have provided the most frequent glider detections. Similarly, gliders have been detected in remnants across all three land use zones during all survey periods, highlighting the importance of this habitat type for the species.







3.1.3 Trends in nightly detection rates

Positive identifications were recorded at 27 of the 65 sites monitored during Winter 2018, 21 of the 64 sites in Spring 2018, 20 of 62 sites in Autumn 2019, 19 of 63 sites in Spring 2019, 22 of 62 sites in Autumn 2020, 23 of 60 sites in Spring 2020, 30 of 66 sites in Autumn 2021, 25 of 67 sites in Spring 2021, 27 of 64 sites in Autumn 2022, 19 of 60 sites in Spring 2022, 33 of 60 sites in Autumn 2023 and 31 of 63 sites in Spring 2023. Records (images and GPS location) from each of the sites detecting Squirrel Gliders in 2023 have been uploaded to the citizen science platform, https://albury-wodonga.naturemapr.org/.

The number of detection nights per site from across each monitoring period is displayed in Figure 13. Gliders were detected on a maximum of 10 out of 14 monitoring nights at some sites and as few as one night at others. None of the 74 total sites monitored have detected gliders across all survey efforts (Winter and Spring 2018, Autumn and Spring 2019, Autumn and Spring 2020, Autumn and Spring 2021, Autumn and Spring 2022 and Autumn and Spring 2023). One site (Site 23) has detected gliders in 11 of 12 monitoring periods. At sites where gliders were detected, the number of detection nights averaged per monitoring period ranged from 2 (Autumn 2019) to 4.2 (Spring 2022). This data may enable future monitoring at these sites to identify changes in population density, distribution and other characteristics






Figure 13: Total number of Squirrel Glider detection nights in each monitoring period (n=10-14nights) per site between 2018 and 2023 in Thurgoona Wirlinga.



3.2 Victoria – Wodonga (Leneva – Baranduda)

3.2.1 Survey effort and Squirrel Glider detection rate

Two monitoring periods occurred in the Wodonga study area in 2023, Autumn and Spring. The survey effort in each period was sites monitored. They resulted in glider detection rates of 45% in Autumn 2023 and 32% in Spring 2023. 2023 monitoring showed a minor decrease in detection rates initially (42% down from 43% the previous year), before recording a much lower detection rate of 29% in Spring 2023. Table 6 shows the overall survey efforts and glider detection rates in the Wodonga study area from the initial year of monitoring. Included in Table 6 is an additional figure showing total *Petaurus sp.* detected, which includes the sites where a determination between Squirrel Glider and Krefft's Glider could not be made. Maps that present key data in this section spatially are provided in Appendix A.

Table 6:TSMP survey efforts and associated Squirrel Glider detection rates between Winter 2020 and Spring 2023 in the Wodonga study area (total Petaurus sp. in brackets)

Monitoring Period	Sites Monitored	Sites with Squirrel Glider Detections	Detection Rate	Trend
Winter 2020	48	13 (16)	27% (33%)	
Spring 2020	53	13 (15)	25% (28%)	1
Autumn 2021	59	22 (23)	37% (39%)	1
Spring 2021	59	22 (28)	37% (47%)	→
Autumn 2022	59	21 (25)	36% (42%)	↓
Spring 2022	53	23 (26)	43% (49%)	1
Autumn 2023	59	25 (27)	42% (45%)	1
Spring 2023	59	17 (19)	29% (32%)	1

3.2.2 Trends in detection rates among habitat and land use types

In Autumn, gliders were detected in 29% of remnant sites (n = 5), 60% of revegetation sites (n = 6), 50% of riparian sites (n = 8) and 50% of roadsides monitored (n = 8). Detection rates in three of the hour habitat types decreased from Autumn to Spring monitoring periods (Table 7). The glider detection rates per habitat type for each monitoring period are shown in Figure 14.

Table 7:Detection rates according to habitat type in 2022

	Autumn		Spi	ing		
Habitat	Sites Monitored	Detection Rate	Sites Monitored	Detection Rate	Trend	
Remnant	17	29.41%	17	23.53%	1	
Revegetation	10	60.00%	10	30.00%	1	



	Autumn		Spr	ing		
Habitat	Sites Monitored	Detection Rate	Sites Monitored	Detection Rate	Trend	
Riparian	16	50.00%	16	25.00%	↓	
Roadside	16	50.00%	16	50.00%%	→	
All	59	42.37%	59	28.81%	I	




Figure 14: Squirrel Glider detection rates according to each habitat type across life of program in Wodonga

Figure 14 shows that detection rates across all habitat types decreased from the previous year (Spring 2022). Revegetation sites showed a sharp increase in detection rates during Autum 2023 before a substantial decrease in Spring 2023.

Figures 15 and 16 demonstrate the difference in detection rates at each habitat type and land use zone within the study period (2023 calendar year).






Figure 15: Squirrel Glider detection rates of each habitat type according to land use zone for the Autumn 2022 monitoring period in Wodonga.




Figure 16:Squirrel Glider detection rates of each habitat type according to land use zone for the Spring 2022 monitoring period in Wodonga.

Figures 15 and 16 show some variances, highlighted by a lack of detections in remnant, vegetation, and roadside habitat types within the rural land use zone in the Spring monitoring period. having all been represented during the Autumn period. The rural land use zone produced the highest detection rates for revegetation (100%) and remnant (80%) sites during the monitoring period during Autumn, but a 0% rate for these habitat types during Spring. As discussed in Section 3.1.2, the data in these figures provides an indication of glider detections within the given year but it is difficult to discern any significant trends in their habitat preference or movement patterns.

Figure 17 shows the glider detection rates within each land use zone (across all habitat types) since the start of the program. This data may be able to assist in detecting landscape scale land-use changes, disturbances, events, seasonality etc. Glider detections in the proposed development and rural land use zone have been relatively



stable over six monitoring periods so far however, glider detections within the urban land use zone have continually increased over the course of the program.




Figure 17: Squirrel Glider detection rates in Wodonga within each land use zone across life of program.

As per the Thurgoona - Wirlinga study area (Section 3.1.2), the actual number of glider detections will be tracked over each of the monitoring periods to give a visual representation of the habitat type in each land use zone where gliders are recorded (Figure 18). This data is more representative of species habitat use given that it will account for multiple years' worth of data, allowing for the identification of any geographical or seasonal trends.






Figure 18: Number of glider detections in each land use zone and habitat type over time from Winter 2020 to Spring 2023.



Roadside and riparian habitat types appear to account for more glider detections in the rural and urban land use ones than they do in the proposed development zone. The habitat types are most often linear in shape and can provide effective wildlife movement corridors throughout highly fragmented landscapes.

3.2.3 Trends in nightly detection rates

Positive identifications were recorded at 27 of the 59 sites monitored in Autumn 2023 and 19 of 59 sites monitored during Spring 2023. Records (images and GPS location) from each of the sites detecting Squirrel Gliders in 2021 have been uploaded to <u>Albury Wodonga Nature Map</u>.

The number of detection nights per site from across each monitoring period is displayed in Figure 19. Gliders were detected on a maximum of ten monitoring nights at some sites and as few as one night at others. One of the 59 total sites monitored (Site 123) has detected gliders across all eight monitoring periods. At sites where gliders were detected, the number of detection nights averaged per monitoring period ranged from 1.8 (Winter 2020) to 4.4 (Autumn 2021). This data may enable future monitoring at these sites to identify changes in population density, distribution and other characteristics.






Figure 19: Total number of Squirrel Glider detection nights in each monitoring period (n = 10-14 nights) per site in Wodonga



3.3 Other fauna species

Several other non-threatened fauna species were detected using the motion sensing cameras during the monitoring period, none of which are listed under Commonwealth or State threatened species legislation. Species listed below were recorded on the arboreally mounted cameras, that were set to operate during nocturnal hours only.

- Black Rat (Rattus rattus)
- Common Brushtail Possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*)
- Common Ringtail Possum (Pseudocheirus peregrinus)
- Feathertail Glider (Acrobates pygmaeus)
- Krefft's Glider (Petaurus notatus)
- Southern Boobook (Ninox boobook)
- Tawny Frogmouth (*Podarqus strigoides*)
- Yellow-footed Antechinus (Antechinus flavipes)

Records of non-target fauna are uploaded to the Albury-Wodonga Nature Map. Should threatened species be recorded during the monitoring program, records will be detailed in the report including information on location, habitat types, land use zones and recommendations for further monitoring as appropriate.

3.4 Bird observations

Bird species observations were recorded at TSMP sites as described in Section 2.2. These observations revealed predominantly common species occupied sites across the study area, as per the species list in Table 8 (below). Diamond Firetails (*Stagonopleura guttata*) were observed at site 009 in the NSW study area. This species is listed as Vulnerable under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Aus). A total of 72 bird species were recorded between March 2023 and November 2023, three of those being a non-native species (Common Blackbird, Common Starling, and Indian Myna).

Table 8: Species list compiled from bird observations across the TSMP area in 2023.

Common	Scientific Name	Monitoring Period Detected				
Name		NSW Autumn	NSW Spring	Vic Autumn	VIC Spring	
Australasian Darter	Anhinga novaehollandiae		✓			
Australian Magpie	Cracticus tibicen	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Australian Raven	Corvus coronoides	✓	✓		✓	
Australian Reed Warbler	Acrocephalus australis			✓	✓	
Australian Shelduck	Tadorna tadornoides		✓			
Australian White Ibis	Threskiornis molucca		✓	✓		
Australian Wood Duck	Chenonetta jubata	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Black-faced Cuckoo-shrike	Coracina novaehollandiae				✓	
Blue-faced Honeyeater	Entomyzon cyanotis	✓	✓			
Crested Pigeon	Ocyphaps lophotes	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Crimson Rosella	Platycercus elegans			✓	✓	



Common	Scientific Name	Monitoring Period Detected				
Name		NSW Autumn	NSW Spring	Vic Autumn	VIC Spring	
Common Blackbird *	Turdus merula	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Common Starling *	Sturnus vulgaris		✓		✓	
Diamond Firetail ^	Stagonopleura guttata		✓			
Eastern Rosella	Platycercus eximius	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Eurasian Coot	Fulica atra		✓			
Fairy Martin	Petrochelidon ariel				✓	
Flame Robin	Petroica phoenicea			✓		
Galah	Eolophus roseicapillus	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Golden-headed Cisticola	Cisticola exilis			✓		
Great Egret ^	Ardea modesta		✓			
Grey Fantail	Rhipidura albiscapa	✓				
Grey Shrike- thrush	Colluricincla harmonica	✓		✓	✓	
Indian Myna *	Acridotheres tristis*			✓	✓	
King Parrot	Alisterus scapularis		✓			
Laughing Kookaburra	Dacelo novaeguineae	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Magpie-lark	Grallina cyanoleuca	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Masked Lapwing	Vanellus miles	✓	✓		✓	
Nankeen Kestrel	Falco cenchroides		✓			
Noisy Miner	Manorina melanocephala	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Oriental Dollarbird #	Eurystomus orientalis #		✓		✓	
Pacific Black Duck	Anas superciliosa	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Peaceful Dove	Geopelia placida				✓	
Pied Butcherbird	Cracticus nigrogularis	✓				
Pied	Phalacrocorax					
Cormorant	varius		✓			
Pied Currawong	Strepera graculina	✓		✓	✓	
Purple Swamp Hen	Porphyrio porphyrio	✓	✓			
Rainbow Lorikeet	Trichoglossus moluccanus		✓	✓		
Red-browed Finch	Neochima temporalis	✓			✓	



Common	Scientific Name	Monitoring Period Detected			
Name		NSW Autumn	NSW Spring	Vic Autumn	VIC Spring
Red Wattlebird	Anthochaera carunculata	✓	✓	✓	✓
Red-rumped Parrot	Psephotus haematonotus		✓	✓	
Restless Flycatcher	Myiagra inquieta	✓			
Sacred Kingfisher	Todiramphus sanctus				✓
Satin Bowerbird	Ptilonorhynchus violaceus				✓
Spotted Dove *	Spilopelia chinensis				✓
Straw-necked Ibis	Threskiornis spinicollis			✓	
Sulphur- crested Cockatoo	Cacatua galerita	✓	✓	✓	✓
Superb Fairy- wren	Malurus cyaneus	✓	✓	✓	✓
Welcome Swallow	Hirundo neoxena	✓	✓	✓	✓
Whistling Kite	Haliastur sphenurus		✓		
White-breasted Woodswallow	Artamus leucorynchus		✓		✓
White-faced Heron	Egretta novaehollandiae	✓	✓	✓	✓
White-plumed Honeyeater	Lichenostomus penicillatus	✓	✓	✓	✓
White-necked Heron	Ardea pacifica		✓		
White-throated Treecreeper	Cormobates leucophaea		✓		
White-winged Chough	Corcorax melanorhamphos	✓	✓	✓	✓
Willie Wagtail	Rhipidura Ieucophrys	✓	✓	✓	✓
Yellow-rumped Thornbill	Acanthiza chrysorrhoa			✓	✓

^{*} Indicates introduced species. # Indicates migratory species. ^ Indicates conservation listed species

4. Discussion and Recommendations

The expansion of the threatened species monitoring program into the Wodonga Council local government area (LGA) provides an excellent opportunity to monitor and evaluate the distribution of threatened species at a landscape scale and aligns with the Regional Natural Environment Strategy collaboration between the two councils. The program has significantly improved the knowledge on Squirrel Glider (*Petaurus norfolcensis*) distribution across the study area, particularly in the Wodonga LGA where records prior to the TSMP were rare and limited. The program provides robust data that can be used by various government and other stakeholders.



Importantly, the program now provides the opportunity to detect other threatened species such as the Brushtailed Phascogale (*Phascogle tapoatafa*) and Spotted-tail Quoll (*Dasyurus maculatus*). Both species have historical records in the Wodonga LGA with most recent records within the study area occurring in 2015 for each species (Atlas of Living Australia, 2021).

As identified in Sections 3.1.2 and 3.2.2 of this report, the data derived from the program provides improved insight into Squirrel Glider (and potentially other species) habitat use over time. That is, the more monitoring sites which are incorporated into the program and the more monitoring periods that are undertaken, the more likely we are to draw some significant findings into how glider populations are responding to the ever-changing landscapes in the study areas. Both study areas (Thurgoona – Wirlinga and Wodonga) are experiencing rapid urban growth which is, and has the potential to, reduce and fragment existing habitat. By monitoring glider presence and absence across multiple habitat types and land use zones over time, we can identify critical habitats, linkages, and management interventions to ensure the populations remain viable in the face of urbanisation.

Key to the success of the program in providing data that can inform wildlife and habitat management is ensuring that the program continues in a consistent manner (i.e. biannual Autumn and Spring surveys in both study areas) and expands to include additional sites.

Ideally, the data provided by the monitoring program will be considered in the development application phase, particularly where population strongholds or keystone habitat (see Figures 12 and 17) have been identified. These sites should be a priority for retention and enhancement through revegetation and linkage works where required.

Critical to maintaining the population viability of Squirrel Gliders across the study areas is the application of the records informing development applications and management interventions to minimise impact on the species and their key habitat patches. The records of Squirrel Gliders, as well as non-target arboreal species identified and recorded throughout the program have the potential to identify movement barriers and priority linkages within the landscape. Squirrel Gliders, Common Brushtail Possums, Common Ringtail Possums, Yellow-footed Antechinus and Feathertail Gliders have all been recorded through this program and these, along with historical records can give a good indication of distribution and abundance throughout the study area.

The Squirrel Glider population appears to be relatively stable in the Thurgoona - Wirlinga study area with the detection rates since the beginning of the program ranging from 30% (Spring 2019) to 55% (Autumn 2023). The 2023 monitoring periods produced the highest glider detection rates to date at 55% and 49%. The fluctuations in overall detection rates are expected given the Squirrel Gliders mobility and propensity to change den sites often within their home range, combined with the monitoring sites being static (i.e., the same tree is monitored each monitoring period) meaning there is a strong probability of recording a false absence within a monitoring site, habitat type or even land use zone). Modelled distributions and occupancy should always be considered along with follow up targeted surveys before an absence is considered. From a planning perspective, the precautionary principle should apply to account for false absences.

Detection rates in the Wodonga study area decreased to 29% in Spring 2023 which is only marginally higher than the lowest recorded detection rate in the program thus far (25% in Spring 2020). This comes after the detection rate was as high as 42% as recently as Autum 2023. The detection rates of each habitat type decreased except for roadside sites where it remained at 50% (Table 7). Detection rates in the rural land use zone increased from 33% in Spring 2022 to 69% in Autumn 2023 before decreasing to just 12% in Spring 2022. There were no detections in any of the remnant, revegetation, or roadside habitat types within the rural land use zone in Spring 2023.

The data collected should be shared with Councils, Landcare groups, NRM groups and other relevant land managers to inform on-ground work priorities. The data collected year to year and over time can be used to strategically plan for nest box installation, revegetation for connectivity or food supply, removal of barbed wire etc.



In 2023, there were four instances of *Petaurus* sp. detections where it was not possible to differentiate between Squirrel Glider and Krefft's Glider. This limitation was detailed in previous annual reports. There are now 12 sites where the possibility of species overlap has been identified. An additional survey project plan has been developed which would see spotlight surveys undertaken at these sites to enable accurate recording of arboreal species presence. This project will require funding but will lead to a more accurate representation of species distribution for both glider species in the study area. It also aims to develop an identification protocol for use with motion-sensing cameras that could be of benefit to other projects where this species overlaps occurs. In the interim, detection images captured during the TSMP will be uploaded to the <u>Wildlife Insights</u> artificial intelligence program to assist in the platforms ability to detect and recognise glider species from images captured via motion sensing cameras.

The continuation of the TSMP and implementation of associated projects should enable research opportunities that will further develop the understanding of threatened species management in areas of peri-urban development.

Funding of a three-year project across the TSMP study areas (Thurgoona – Wirlinga and Wodonga) will enable Albury City Council and Wodonga Council to design and implement on-ground works programs that provide the most appropriate level of investment and scope of works across their municipalities, to benefit threatened species based on the data collected in the TSMP. As well as being used from a statutory and planning point of view (strategic investment and development approvals) as has been done in 2021, the councils will be able to use the data to deliver works such as revegetation, weed control, fencing, habitat augmentation and enrichment etc. backed by the scientific input from Albury Conservation Company. The project should be developed and implemented as a high priority for Albury Conservation Company as it has the potential to build on the work outlined in Michael et.al (2021) and provide some results in context to the blueprint for monitoring threatened species in peri-urban areas.

Another project which was funded in the Wodonga study area and delivered in 2022 saw the installation of 50 traditional nest boxes (constructed by Wodonga Men's Shed), 50 Habitech polypropylene modular nest boxes and 50 augmented (i.e. chainsaw carved) hollows at selected TSMP sites in the study area. Follow up monitoring of the 150 habitat interventions will allow the identification of any species preference for, or success/failure of each type of intervention to enable best outcomes for future management. Follow up monitoring of the habitat interventions may allow the monitoring for changes in detection rates post increase in habitat availability for arboreal hollow dependant species through the establishment of a before-after control-impact (BACI) experiment. The collection of two years of before data provides a strong baseline for contrasting and comparing management interventions (e.g., cavity augmentation and understory restoration) at sites with and without gliders to control sites where no interventions take place and support or don't support gliders. There is a great opportunity for the funding of this monitoring to contribute meaningful information to habitat installation projects for arboreal mammals which could be utilised industry wide. If additional funding for this monitoring cannot be found, Albury Conservation Company and its stakeholders should consider commencing this monitoring as part of the lan Potter Foundation funded project, 'Applying science to on-ground action for conservation of Albury Wodonga's threatened wildlife'.

4.1 Summary of recommendations

- 1. Continue implementing the TSMP in both Thurgoona Wirlinga and Wodonga to improve knowledge of threatened species and identify critical habitats, linkages, and management interventions to ensure the populations remain viable in the face of urbanisation.
- 2. Prioritise the delivery of the three-year funded 'Applying science to on-ground action for conservation of Albury Wodonga's threatened wildlife' project. Commence monitoring of sites with habitat interventions to facilitate BACI experiment.




- 3. This program and its associated projects should be supported by Councils and other key stakeholders. It aligns with the Regional Natural Environment Strategy (RNES) and meets many of the actions in the RNES Action Plan (2020-24).
- 4. Data collected by the TSMP should be used to prescribe delivery of on-ground works relating to revegetation (connectivity and food sources), habitat enrichment (nest boxes and augmented hollows), weed control, removal of barbed wire etc. at specific sites.



5. Acknowledgments

Albury Conservation Company would like to gratefully acknowledge funding received from:

- Albury City Council to support implementation of the program for three years until 2023/24.
- The Ross Trust and Wodonga Council for facilitating the expansion of the program into the Wodonga region.
- The Ian Potter Foundation for the significant investment in the three-year funded 'Applying science to on-ground action for conservation of Albury Wodonga's threatened wildlife' project.
- The Victorian Government Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP) for the funding of the 'Applying science to inform on-ground actions for conserving Wodonga's threatened wildlife' project.
- Wettenhall Environment Trust for providing two small grants to help purchase motion sensing cameras central to the monitoring program.
- Crowd funding via Edge Pledge which facilitated the initial purchase of motion sensing cameras required to implement the program and,
- Public donations made to Albury Conservation Company via https://www.givenow.com.au/alburyconservationcompany

















DM Ecological would like to thank the following people and organisations for their ongoing involvement in implementing this program:

- Albury Conservation Company, particularly James Jenkins (Chairperson) Sophie Enders, (Executive Officer) and Dr. Damian Michael (Vice chairperson) for engaging DM Ecological to implement the program and their assistance in obtaining background information, permits and approvals, contacts and support throughout, including peer review of this report.
- Albury City Council for their assistance in land access and supply of GIS data.
- Wodonga Council for their access to council land including Wodonga Retained Environment Network (WREN) lands.
- Parklands Albury Wodonga for their assistance and advice in accessing sites.
- Users of <u>Albury Wodonga Nature Map</u> whose records have added considerably to local wildlife knowledge, including our target species.

This research has been approved by the Charles Sturt Animal Care and Ethics Committee (Protocol numbers A18021, A20031 and A23469) under NSW Office of Environment and Heritage scientific licence (SL102071), Department of Crown Lands combined licence (RI596463) and Victorian Government Department of Energy, Environment and Climate Action Research Authorisation Permit (Permit No 10010723).



6. References

<u>2017 Addendum to proposed Squirrel Glider monitoring plan for Thurgoona-Wirlinga, Dr. Damian Michael on</u> behalf of Albury Conservation Company

DM Ecological, 2018, Squirrel Glider Monitoring Program – Supplementary Bird and Vegetation Quality Survey Report, Albury Conservation Company

<u>DM Ecological, 2018, Squirrel Glider Monitoring Program Thurgoona - Wirlinga – Winter 2018 Monitoring</u> Report, Albury Conservation Company

<u>DM Ecological, 2018, Squirrel Glider Monitoring Program Thurgoona - Wirlinga – Spring 2018 Monitoring Report, Albury Conservation Company</u>

<u>DM Ecological, 2019, Squirrel Glider Monitoring Program Thurgoona - Wirlinga – Autumn 2019 Monitoring Report, Albury Conservation Company</u>

<u>DM Ecological, 2019, Squirrel Glider Monitoring Program Thurgoona - Wirlinga – Spring 2019 Monitoring Report, Albury Conservation Company</u>

<u>DM Ecological, 2020, Albury-Wodonga Threatened Species Monitoring Program Annual Report – 2020, Albury Conservation Company</u>

DM Ecological, 2021, Albury-Wodonga Threatened Species Monitoring Program Annual Report – 2021, Albury Conservation Company

DM Ecological, 2022, Albury-Wodonga Threatened Species Monitoring Program Annual Report – 2022, Albury Conservation Company

Francis et.al (2015) The influence of urban encroachment on squirrel gliders (Petaurus norfolcencis): effects of road density, light and noise pollution, Institute of Land, Water and Society, Charles Sturt University, PO Box 789, Albury, NSW 2640, Australia

Menkhorst and Knight (2011), A field guide to the mammals of Australia third edition, Oxford University Press, 253 Normanby Road, South Melbourne, Victoria 3205, Australia

Michael et.al (2021) The conservation of arboreal marsupials in the Albury-Wodonga region of south-eastern Australia, Journal of Ecological Management and Restoration Volume 22, Issue 1 (pages 45-52), Wiley Online Library https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/emr.12445


Soanes, K and van der Ree, R (2016), Long-term monitoring plan of Squirrel Glider populations in Thurgoona-Wirlinga: Final Report, Australian Research Centre for Urban Ecology (ARCUE)

Stewart, C and van der Ree, R (2009) Population and Viability Analysis for Squirrel Gliders in Thurgoona NSW, Australian Research Centre for Urban Ecology, Royal Botanic Gardens, Melbourne VIC Aus


<u>Van der Ree. R (2003) The distribution and Status of the Squirrel Glider, Petaurus norfolcencis, in the Thurgoona area of Albury, Albury Wodonga Development Corporation</u>




Appendix A – Results mapping




TSMP sites (NSW) with and without
Squirrel Glider records over life of program




TSMP sites (NSW) with Squirrel Glider records during Autumn over life of program




TSMP sites (NSW) with Squirrel Glider records during Spring over life of program







TSMP sites (VIC) total Squirrel Glider detection nights over life of program



TSMP sites (VIC) total Squirrel Glider records during Autumn over life of program



Spring over life of program



TSMP sites (VIC) total Squirrel Glider detection nights over life of program

